|  |
| --- |
| **Section A: [For information] Criteria**  |
| 1. The nominated **community groups** must have:
	1. contributed to the delivery of an i) impactful, (ii) sustainable, (iii) effective solution (s) addressing **one or more** municipal issues;
	2. demonstrated active community participation; and
	3. Strong ground-up effort led by the community.
2. The nominated groups must comprise multiple community partners (members of grassroots organisations, members of public, civic groups, etc.), who worked together to improve the living environment. The nominated team need not comprise members of government agencies, but should comprise members of the community.
3. Each nomination should not have more than 12 members in total.
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Section B: Checklist**  |
| This checklist will ensure that all details required for the nomination are complete.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Have you done the following?** | **Pl tick****√** | **MSO’s****verification** |
| **Is this issue municipal?**Municipal issues refer to:* Disamenities to residents (e.g. public safety issues like cracked wall, potholes, flooding, vectors, obstruction, illegal parking, illegal smoking, animal issues, mosquitoes, rodents)
* Matters arising from the need for infrastructure or connectivity-related facilities (e.g. lifts, playground, common areas)
* Situations that call for improvement to the living environment (e.g. cleanliness, unmaintained greenery)

Examples of non-municipal issues:* Giving aid to public on social/financial/job search assistance
* Law and order/Crime prevention efforts
* Upcycling, Home Improvement Programme (HIP)
* Busking, begging, homeless person/issues
* Traffic congestion, road rage, traffic offences, public transport
* Food hygiene
 |  |  |
| If awarded, can this nomination be publicised? |  |  |
| *(For nomination submitted by agencies/town councils)*Has your agency’s corporate communications division endorsed the nomination? Please fill in the point of contact below if so: |  |  |
| Do you have pictures and/or videos in at least 300 dpi to support the nomination? |  |  |
| Have you read the MSA 2023 FAQs? |  |  |

 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Section C: Title of project** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Section D: Nominees’ particulars**  |
| **Name of Project Leader:**  |
| **Nominating Agency/Town Council (if any):**  |
| **Nominees’ Particulars** |
| **Names of team members[[1]](#footnote-1)***[Underline surname. Pls ensure accuracy as this name (without salutation) will appear on the certificate, and related materials.]* | **Organisation and** **Department** | **Designation** | **Mobile No** | **Email Address** |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |  |
| 4. |  |  |  |  |
| 5. |  |  |  |  |
| 6. |  |  |  |  |
| 7. |  |  |  |  |
| 8. |  |  |  |  |
| 9. |  |  |  |  |
| 10. |  |  |  |  |
| 11. |  |  |  |  |
| 12. |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Section E: Summary of nomination** **(not more than 200 words)***Description of project and solution/service rendered within the qualifying period of 1 Apr 2022 to 28 Feb 2023.* |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Section F: Nomination details** **(not more than 300 words per section)***Note: Publicity write-up will be based on this. Please highlight information that is not for publicity and indicate reason why it is not appropriate for publicity.* |
| * + - * 1. *Describe how the community partners worked together to resolve an issue which was complex. E.g. the solution took great effort, time, and coordination, in terms of technical works, legal implications, and/or citizen engagement, and*

*involved several workstreams.* |
| * + - * 1. *Describe how the solution was impactful, sustainable and effective.*

*E.g. the solution was a long-term remedy to the issue that improved the everyday lives of residents and their living environment.*  |
| * + - * 1. *Describe how the solution demonstrated active community participation and was a good example of strong ground-up effort led by the community.*

*E.g. the solution was started, developed, and implemented by members of the community.* |
| * + - * 1. *List down service accolades/commendation from the feedback provider and/or another agency, and good feedback from external parties.*

*Note: Compliments can be from residents who were not directly involved in the project but benefited from it.* |

|  |
| --- |
| **Section G: Photograph submission**  |
| Include photographs of solution/service delivery (at least 300 dpi, not more than 3MB) and before/after photographs if applicable.  |

1. Please note that the maximum number of members in each nominated team is **12**. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)